SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

Attendees, Date and Type of Interview

The following is a summary of telephonic interview between Examiner Valarie Bertoglio and Applicants' representative Michael L. Fuller on March 16, 2012. Applicants' Representative wishes to thank the Examiner for her time and consideration.

Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

None

Identification of Claims Discussed

Claims 26, 28-31, and 44-59

Identification of Prior Art Discussed

Reece, Mayford et al. (1996) (attached as Exhibit 1), Mayford et al. (1995) (attached as Exhibit 2)

Proposed Amendments

Modification of the claim language to clarify that only the forebrain cells of the mouse have disrupted Shp2 genes, and other locations in the mouse do not have disruption of Shp2 genes.

Principal Arguments and Other Matters

The difference between the instant claims and the prior art was discussed.

Results of Interview

The Examiner agreed that claim amendments which limit Claim 26 to endogenous disruption of only cells of the mouse forebrain should be allowable.